UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,336	12/04/2001	Martin Rex Dorricott	282476US8XCONT	6821	
	10/006,336 12/04/2001 Martin Rex Dorricott		EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET		VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P			
ALEXANDRIA	INDKIA, VA 22514		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2424		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Intonvious Cummons	10/006,336	DORRICOTT ET	OTT ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL VAN HANDEL	2424		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Michael Van Handel</u> .	(3) <u>Ed Tracy</u> .			
(2) <u>Chris Kelley</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>02 December 2008</u> .				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Stefik et al. (US 5,634	<u>,012)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ l	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed different and a constant of the various feators</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	erences between the invention tures of Stefik et al. meet the dments which the examiner accopy of the amendments that	<u>n and the prior art</u> current claim limit greed would rend	<u>t. The</u> tations . er the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTAN	e last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO	
	/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2424		

Application No.

Applicant(s)